

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,665	09/10/2003	Lawrence Shuniak	4024.002	3725	
41288	7590 06/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PENDORF & CUTLIFF			NEGRON, ISMAEL		
5111 MEMORIAL HIGHWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IAMPA, FI	. 33634-7356		2875		
				.	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- AS			
	10/659,665	SHUNIAK, LAWREN	ICE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ismael Negron	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the second state of the second	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	10 September 2003.		•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific process.	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	tage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Title

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: illumination Device having Detachable Lighting Units.

Abstract

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology

often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses phrases which can be implied. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: paragraph 39, line 1 should read "When the light source 50 power source 40 is a battery, they may".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: it recites the limitation "the method according to claim 1" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The cited lack of antecedent instances do not amount to indefinitiveness under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, since is readily apparent that the claims are referring back to the previously recited method for illuminating a dark are (presented in Claim 8). However, appropriate correction is required to place the claims in proper form for allowance.

- 6. The Examiner respectfully suggests amending the claims to read:
 - The method according to claim 4 8, wherein the light source is chosen from LED, incandescent bulb, florescent bulb, or combinations thereof".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by THIEL (U.S. Pat. 1,875,956).
- 8. THIEL discloses an illumination device having:
 - at least two segment bodies (as recited in Claim 1), Figure 1, reference characters B and D;

Application/Control Number: 10/659,665

Art Unit: 2875

- the segment body having a housing (as recited in Claim 1),
Figure 1, reference characters B and D;

Page 5

- the segment body having at least one light source (as recited in Claim 1), Figure 3, reference numbers 16 and 32;
- the light source being located inside the housing (as recited in
 Claim 1), as seen in Figure 3;
- the segmented body having a power source (as recited in Claim 1), Figure 3, reference number 11;
- the power source being for powering the light source (as recited in Claim 1), page 1, lines 71-74;
- the segment body includes at least one attachment point (as recited in Claim 1), Figure 1, reference number 17;
- the attachment point being for connecting the segmented body to an adjacent segmented body (as recited in Claim 1), page 1, lines 85-88;
- the segmented body having at least one power switch (as recited in Claim 2), Figure 3, reference number 14;
- the switch turning the light source ON and OFF (as recited in Claim 2), page 1, lines 77-84;
- the power source being chosen from batteries, wood, oil, gas,
 propane, AC electric power, or combination thereof (as recited
 in Claim 4), page 1, line 72;

Application/Control Number: 10/659,665 Page 6

Art Unit: 2875

the light source is chosen from LED, incandescent bulb, florescent bulb, or combinations thereof (as recited in Claim 5), page 1, line 80;

- the segmented body including a transparent or translucent globe (as recited in Claim 7), Figure 3, reference number 13; and the globe housing the light source (as recited in Claim 7), as
 - seen in Figure 3.
- 9. Method claims 8, 9, 11 and 12 were considered as inherently disclosed by the structural limitations of the patented device of THIEL (as detailed above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3, 6 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over THIEL (U.S. Pat. 1,875,956).
- 11. THIEL discloses an illumination device having:
 - at least two segment bodies (as recited in Claim 1), Figure 1, reference characters B and D;

Application/Control Number: 10/659,665

Art Unit: 2875

- the segment body having a housing (as recited in Claim 1),
Figure 1, reference characters B and D;

Page 7

- the segment body having at least one light source (as recited in Claim 1), Figure 3, reference numbers 16 and 32;
- the light source being located inside the housing (as recited in Claim 1), as seen in Figure 3;
- the segmented body having a power source (as recited in Claim 1), Figure 3, reference number 11;
- the power source being for powering the light source (as recited in Claim 1), page 1, lines 71-74;
- the segment body includes at least one attachment point (as recited in Claim 1), Figure 1, reference number 17; and
- the attachment point being for connecting the segmented body to an adjacent segmented body (as recited in Claim 1), page 1, lines 85-88.

12. THIEL discloses all the limitations of the claims, except:

- at least one hook (as recited in Claim 3);
- the hook being for hanging each segmented body (as recited in Claim 3);
- main power switch means (as recited in Claim 6); and
- the illumination device being turned ON or OFF by the main power switch means (as recited in Claim 6).

- 13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include at least one hook in at least one of the segmented bodies of THIEL (as recited in Claim 3), since the Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of such hooks is old and well known in the art. One would have being motivated to be able to secure the body to a supporting structure by hanging it from the hook.
- 14. Regarding the illumination device having a main power switch means (as recited in Claim 6), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a master switch in the illumination device of THIEL, to be able to turn OFF all the light sources of the device of THIEL actuating only one switch as opposed to the multiple single switches of THIEL.
- 15. Method Claim 10 was considered as inherently disclosed by the structural limitations of the patented device of THIEL (as detailed above).

Relevant Prior Art

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Finesilver (U.S. Pat. 1,521,610), Pelletier (U.S. Pat. 4,302,800), Bertozzi et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,707,766), Farrall et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,918,576), Hopper (U.S. Pat. 5,010,454), Sims (U.S. Pat. 5,567,039), Engle (U.S. Pat. 5,702,176), Stephens (U.S.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,665

Art Unit: 2875

Pat. 5,890,793) and - (U.S. Pat. 6,299,327) disclose illumination systems having a

plurality of interconnectable lamp housing for individual or grouped operation.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ismael Negron whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2376. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 6:00

P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea, can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The facsimile

machine number for the Art Group is (703) 872-9306.

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications maybe obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, go to http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) toll-free at 866-217-9197.

June 24, 2005

Page 9